



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,049	07/14/2006	Yasuyuki Arai	0756-7773	2445

31780 7590 10/08/2010
Robinson Intellectual Property Law Office, P.C.
3975 Fair Ridge Drive
Suite 20 North
Fairfax, VA 22033

EXAMINER

POPE, DARYL C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/08/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	Application No. 10/586049	Applicant(s) Yasuyuki ARAI et al.	
	Examiner Daryl C. Pope	Art Unit 2612	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed on 09/29/10 is non-compliant for the following reason(s). See *Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Pilot Program*, 1296 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 67 (July 12, 2005). A conference will not be held.

The time period for filing an appeal brief CONTINUES TO RUN from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal. 37 CFR 41.37(a). If no Notice of Appeal has been received, the time period for filing a reply continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication.

1. ☐ The Request was not filed concurrently with a proper Notice of Appeal.
2. ☐ The Request does not include accompanying arguments for which the review is being requested.
3. ☐ The arguments accompanying the Request exceed five (5) pages.
4. ☐ The arguments accompanying the Request are directed to petitionable, not appealable, matters.
5. ☒ An after-final or proposed amendment was filed with the Request, or after the filing of the Request but before a panel decision from the pre-appeal brief conference.
6. ☐ The request was filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).
7. ☐ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

/Sharmalla Coates/
Sharmalla Coates, Supervisor
Patent Appeal Center